# **New Journal of Physics**

The open access journal at the forefront of physics

#### **PAPER • OPEN ACCESS**

## Goos–Hänchen shifts on spin representation

To cite this article: Ze Chen et al 2024 New J. Phys. 26 073004

View the [article online](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/ad360f) for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- Giant enhancement of Goos-Hänchen [shift in graphene-based dielectric grating](/article/10.1088/1361-6463/ab5b5d) Tingwei Li, Haixia Da, Xiaodong Du et al.
- [Quantum weak measurement of](/article/10.1088/1612-202X/ab8a4f) [Goos–Hänchen effect of light in total](/article/10.1088/1612-202X/ab8a4f) [internal reflection using a Gaussian-mode](/article/10.1088/1612-202X/ab8a4f) [laser beam](/article/10.1088/1612-202X/ab8a4f) Akash Das and Manik Pradhan -
- [Direct calculation of the strong](/article/10.1088/2040-8986/ab04c2) [Goos–Hänchen effect of a Gaussian light](/article/10.1088/2040-8986/ab04c2) [beam due to the excitation of surface](/article/10.1088/2040-8986/ab04c2) [plasmon polaritons in the Otto](/article/10.1088/2040-8986/ab04c2) [configuration](/article/10.1088/2040-8986/ab04c2) -

Sangbum Kim and Kihong Kim

**OPEN ACCESS**

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the [Creative Commons](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Attribution 4.0 licence](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

 $\odot$ 

**RECEIVED** 31 December 2023

**REVISED** 7 March 2024 **ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION** 20 March 2024 **PUBLISHED** 2 July 2024

# **New Journal of Physics**

The open access journal at the forefront of physics

Deutsche Physikalische Gesellschaft **ODPG** 

**IOP** Institute of Physics

Published in partnership with: Deutsche Physikalische Gesellschaft and the Institute of Physics

### CrossMark

### Goos–Hänchen shifts on spin representation

#### **Ze Chen**[1](#page-1-0)**, Xiaoguang Zhang**[2](#page-1-1) **, Hu Zhang**[2](#page-1-1)**, Yang Meng**[3](#page-1-2),*[∗](#page-1-3)* **and Weiming Zhen**[4](#page-1-4)

- 1 School of Physics and Electronic Engineering, Yancheng Teachers University, Yancheng, People's Republic of China
- <span id="page-1-1"></span><span id="page-1-0"></span>2 State Key Laboratory of Information Photonics and Optical Communications, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Beijing, People's Republic of China 3
- Institute of Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, People's Republic of China
- <span id="page-1-2"></span>4 School of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing, People's Republic of China
- <span id="page-1-4"></span><span id="page-1-3"></span>*∗* Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

**E-mail: [mengyang@imech.ac.cn](mailto:mengyang@imech.ac.cn)**

**Keywords:** spin representation, Goos–Hänchen shift, Brewster's angle, total reflection

#### **Abstract**

We analyze the Goos–Hänchen (GH) shift and longitudinal spin splitting (LSS) at a planar interface between two optical media in the spin representation. While these optical effects have been studied previously, we examine the direct and cross-reflected light fields, and their interference from the spin representation to reveal the physical mechanism of the GH shift and establish a quantitative relationship between it and LSS. Furthermore, we show that angular asymmetric spin splitting occurs under the spin representation when linearly polarized light with a phase difference of 180*◦* and an amplitude ratio angle deviating from 45*◦* impinges on the air–glass interface at Brewster's angle. Finally, we reveal that the spin component field of the reflected light field for the total reflection case is different from that of the Brewster angle reflection, the most typical manifestation is that the intensity of the two spin component fields is not equal.

#### **1. Introduction**

The Goos–Hanchen (GH) shift corresponds to the longitudinal displacement of the center of the beam concerning its geometrically optically predicted, which occurs when a local wave packet (or beam) is totally reflected at the interface of two uniformly transparent media. Although the first prediction of GH displacement dates back to 1947 [\[1](#page-10-0)], research in this area is still very active, such as the physical mechanism ofGH shift  $[2-7]$  $[2-7]$ , GH shift of various beams  $[8-10]$  $[8-10]$  $[8-10]$ , and GH shift on the surface of various materials [[11](#page-10-5)[–15\]](#page-10-6). Recently, the GH shift has not only been studied from the perspective of fundamental physics, but also photonic devices for sensing based on the GH effect have been introduced[[16](#page-10-7)].

The spin Hall effect (SHE) of light is an interesting optical phenomenon, which results from the spin–orbit interactions of light. In recent years, The SHE has also been deeply studied in two kinds of single-negative metamaterials, which would pave the way for promising integrated near-field photonics devices[[17](#page-10-8), [18\]](#page-10-9). Just as the Imbert–Fedorov (IF) shift is closely related to the SHE of light[[19](#page-10-10)[–22\]](#page-10-11), the GH shift is also closely related to longitudinal spin splitting (LSS). Back in 2011, Qin *et al* proposed a method for measuring the amplified LSS after the collimation lens using a position-sensitive detector[[23](#page-10-12)]. Many interesting optical phenomena have been discovered in the study of LSS of light[[24–](#page-10-13)[29](#page-10-14)]. To deeply reveal the relationship between the LSS and GH shift, it is necessary to revisit the GH shift of arbitrarily polarized light in the spin representation. Fortunately, Li's pioneering work on GH displacement and IF displacement[[5\]](#page-10-15) shows the relationship between GH displacement and the polarization parameter of incident light in spin representation. It is important to emphasize that the concept of spin splitting does not receive attention in Li's paper, and the expression for the displacement of the two spin components of the reflected light is not given. Therefore, Li's work did not focus on the question of how GH displacement is related to LSS. Recently, a series of interesting papers have also studied the mechanism of the SHE of light from the perspective of the spin component of the incident light field [\[30–](#page-10-16)[32\]](#page-10-17), the methods presented by these works obviously cannot be used to analyze the LSS and GH shift from the perspective of spin representation of incident polarized

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by IOP Publishing Ltd on behalf of the Institute of Physics and Deutsche Physikalische Gesellschaft

**PAPER**

light. This is because the mathematical relationship between the polarization parameters of the incident light field in the spin representation and the polarization parameters of the linear polarization basis representation (i.e. spin-zero representation), such as amplitude ratio angle and phase difference, is not revealed. Especially, how to define the polarization parameters of the incident light in the spin representation. In addition, how the interference phenomenon of the spin component of the light field after the reflection process subtly affects the LSS and GH shift is also not considered. The main purpose of this work is to fill these gaps.

The structure of this work is as follows: We first solve the general problem of arbitrarily polarized beams in spin representations reflecting at the planar interface between two optical media. Next, we derive the analytical expression of LSS for arbitrarily polarized light and the relation between GH shift and LSS. And then, we consider some interesting phenomena of GH shift and LSS when the incidence angle is equal to Brewster's angle. In particular, from the interference cancellation effect of the spin component of the reflected light field, we reveal the physical image of weak longitudinally symmetric spin splitting for the incident linearly polarized light with the amplitude ratio angle approaching 45*◦* and the phase difference of 180<sup>°</sup> in the spin representation. Finally, we discuss the relationship between GH displacement and LSS in the case of total reflection and reveal that the spin component field of the reflected light field is different from that of the Brewster angle reflection, the most typical manifestation is that the intensity of the two spin component fields is not equal.

#### **2. Theory and model**

The paraxial beam propagates at an *θ<sup>i</sup>* angle between the center wave vector and the *z* axis, and is reflected at the  $z = 0$  plane separated by two media, as shown in figure [1](#page-3-0). We assume that the incident beam is a uniformly polarized paraxial Gaussian beam with the waist at the interface  $z = 0$ , so that the electric field of theincident polarized light field in the laboratory coordinate system  $(ox^i y^i z^i)$  can be written as [[9\]](#page-10-18):

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
\left|\tilde{E}^{i}\right\rangle = \left(\begin{array}{c}a_{P}^{i}\\a_{S}^{i}\end{array}\right)\tilde{\varphi}_{0}^{i} = \left[a_{P}^{i}|P\rangle + a_{S}^{i}|S\rangle\right]\tilde{\varphi}_{0}^{i},\ \tilde{\varphi}_{0}^{i} = \exp\left(-\frac{k_{x}^{i^{2}} + k_{y}^{i^{2}}}{4w_{0}^{-2}}\right)
$$
(1)

where  $k_x^i = \mathbf{k}^i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}_i$ ,  $k_y^i = \mathbf{k}^i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{y}}_i$  with  $\left| \mathbf{k}^i \right| = \left| \mathbf{k}_c^i \right|$ ,  $|P\rangle = (1,0)_{\text{LPB}}^\dagger$  and  $|S\rangle = (0,1)_{\text{LPB}}^\dagger$ , the superscript  $\dagger$  and subscript LPB are conjugate transpose operators and two-dimensional row vectors in linear polarization basis respectively.  $(a_P^i, a_S^i)^\dagger$  can be regarded as the Jones matrix under the linearly polarized representation (i.e. spin-zero representation),  $a_P^i = \cos \alpha_0$ ,  $a_S^i = \sin \alpha_0 \exp(i\Delta\phi_0)$ , with  $\alpha_0$  denotes the amplitude ratio angle, and ∆*ϕ*<sup>0</sup> is the phase difference between horizontal polarization and vertical polarization components of the electric field of the incident light beam,  $w_0$  is the waist radius of the incident beam, which reflects the collimation of the incident beam.

According to equation [\(1\)](#page-2-0), it is not difficult to derive the electric field expression of the incident light in the spin representation as:

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\left|\tilde{E}_S^i\right\rangle = C_0 \begin{pmatrix} a_R^i \\ a_L^i \end{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}_0^i \sim \left[a_R^i \left|R\right\rangle + a_L^i \left|L\right\rangle\right] \tilde{\varphi}_0^i, \tag{2}
$$

where  $C_0 = (1 + \sin 2\alpha_0 \sin \Delta\phi_0)^{1/2}/[\cos \alpha_0 + i \sin \alpha_0 \exp(-i\Delta\phi_0)]$  can be understood as a constant factor resulting from the normalization of polarization parameters. $|R\rangle = (1,0)_{\text{CPB}}^{\text{T}}$  and  $|L\rangle = (0,1)_{\text{CPB}}^{\text{T}}$ , the subscript CPB is two-dimensional row vector in circular polarization basis respectively.  $(a_R^i, a_L^i)^\dagger$  can be regarded as the Jones matrix under the circularly polarized representation (i.e. the spin representation),  $a_R^i = \cos\alpha_C$ ,  $a_L^i = \sin\alpha_C \exp(i\Delta\phi_C)$ , with  $\alpha_C$  denotes the amplitude ratio angle, and  $\Delta\phi_C$  is the phase difference between right-handed components and left-handed components of the electric field of the incident light beam. The relationship between the polarization parameters of the incident light beam in the circular polarization basis  $(\alpha_C, \Delta \phi_C)$  and those in the linear polarization basis  $(\alpha_0, \Delta \phi_0)$  can be expressed as:

$$
\cos \alpha_C = \frac{(1 + \sin 2\alpha_0 \sin \Delta\phi_0)^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2}};
$$
  
\n
$$
\sin \alpha_C = \frac{(\cos^2 2\alpha_0 + \sin^2 2\alpha_0 \cos^2 \Delta\phi_0)^{1/2}}{[2(1 + \sin 2\alpha_0 \sin \Delta\phi_0)]^{1/2}}
$$
\n(2*a*)

$$
\Delta \phi_C = \tan^{-1} (\tan 2\alpha_0 \cos \Delta \phi_0). \tag{2b}
$$

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

According to the spin representation transformation and coordinate transformation rules, the electric field of reflected light in the local laboratory coordinate system can be obtained (see [appendix](#page-9-0) for detailed derivations) as

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\begin{aligned}\n\left| \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{S}^{r} \right\rangle &= \hat{M}_{S}^{r} \left| \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{S}^{i} \right\rangle, \\
\hat{M}_{S}^{r} &= \hat{V} \hat{U}_{\theta_{r}\perp}^{\dagger} \left( \theta_{r}, \mathbf{k}_{r} \right) \hat{V}^{\dagger} \hat{S}^{r} \hat{F}_{S}^{r} \hat{V} \hat{U}_{\theta_{i}\perp} \left( \theta_{i}, \mathbf{k}_{i} \right) \hat{V}^{\dagger}.\n\end{aligned} \tag{3}
$$

With

$$
\hat{V} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ 1 & i \end{pmatrix}, \hat{U}_{\theta_{i}\perp}(\theta_{i}, \mathbf{k}_{i}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{k_{y}^{i}}{k^{i}} \cot \theta_{i} \\ -\frac{k_{y}^{i}}{k^{i}} \cot \theta_{i} & 1 \end{pmatrix},
$$
\n
$$
\hat{S}^{r} \middle| \tilde{E}^{r} \left( k_{x}^{i}, k_{y}^{i} \right) \right\rangle = \middle| \tilde{E}^{r} \left( -k_{x}^{r}, k_{y}^{r} \right) \right\rangle, \hat{U}_{\theta_{r}\perp}(\theta_{r}, \mathbf{k}_{r}) = \hat{U}_{\theta_{i}\perp}(\theta_{i} \mapsto \theta_{r}, \mathbf{k}_{i} \mapsto \mathbf{k}_{r})
$$
\n
$$
\hat{F}_{S}^{r} = \begin{pmatrix} r_{RR} & r_{RL} \\ r_{LR} & r_{LL} \end{pmatrix}, r_{RR} = r_{LL} = \frac{r_{P} + r_{S}}{2}; r_{LR} = r_{RL} = \frac{r_{P} - r_{S}}{2}.
$$

It should be noted that Aiello and Bliokh have given detailed derivation of  $\hat{V}$  matrix and  $\hat{U}_{\theta_i\perp}(\theta_i, \bm{k}_i)$ matrix in their pioneering work on GH displacement and IF displacement [\[33](#page-10-19)[–35\]](#page-10-20). By performing the inverse Fourier transform of equation([3\)](#page-3-1), the coordinate representation of the electric field for the reflected light beam can be written as:

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
|E'_{S}\rangle = (E'_{RR} + E'_{RL}) |R\rangle + (E'_{LR} + E'_{LL}) |L\rangle.
$$
 (4)

With  $E_{RR}^r = r_{RR} (1 + \tilde{x}_{RR} \xi_{x'} + \tilde{y}_{RR} \xi_{y'}) a_R^i \varphi_0^r, E_{RL}^r = r_{RL} (1 + \tilde{x}_{RL} \xi_{x'}) a_L^i \varphi_0^r, E_{LR}^r = r_{LR} (1 + \tilde{x}_{LR} \xi_{x'}) a_R^i \varphi_0^r,$  $E_{LL}^r = r_{LL}(1 + \tilde{x}_{LL}\xi_{x^r} + \tilde{y}_{LL}\xi_{y^r})a_L^i\varphi_0^r.$ Where  $\tilde{x}_{ij} = \frac{i\partial r_{ij}}{k^r r_{ii} \partial}$  $\frac{i\partial r_{ij}}{kr_{ij}\partial\theta_i}(ij=RR,RL,LR,LL), \tilde{y}_{RR}=-\tilde{y}_{LL}=\frac{2}{k^r}\cot\theta_i, \xi_{x^r}=-\frac{k^r x^r}{z_R+i^r}$  $\frac{k^r x^r}{z_R + iz^r}, \xi_{y^r} = -\frac{k^r y^r}{z_R + i}$  $\frac{K y}{z_R + i z^r}$  $\varphi_0^r = \exp\left(-\frac{k^2}{2}\right)$  $r r^2 + r^2$  $x^{r2}+y$ *zR*+*iz<sup>i</sup>*  $\bigg), z_R = k^r w_0^2/2.$ 

Here  $E_{RR}^r$  and  $E_{LR}^r$  are the right-handed and left-handed polarization components of the reflected light field caused by the right-handed polarization component of the incident light field respectively, while  $E_{RL}^r$ and  $E_{LL}^r$  are the right-handed and left-handed polarization components of the reflected light field caused by the left-handed polarization component of the incident light field respectively. It is not difficult to find that the right-handed polarization component of the incident light field not only contributes to the right-handed field of the reflected light field, but also contributes to the left-handed field of the reflected light field. For the convenience of the following discussion,  $E_{RR}^r$  and  $E_{LL}^r$  are called directly reflected fields, and  $E_{LR}^r$  and  $E_{RL}^r$  are

called cross-reflected light fields. On the one hand, the spin shifts come from the self-intensity of the reflected spin light fields  $E'_{RR}$  and  $E'_{RL}$  ( $E'_{LR}$  and  $E'_{LL}$ ), and on the other hand from the interference of the cross-reflected spin light field  $E'_{RL}$  ( $E'_{LR}$ ) and the directly reflected spin light field  $E'_{RR}$  ( $E'_{LL}$ ). The latter is crucial for the analysis of the GH shift generation mechanism in spin representation. For example, does it increase or decrease the intensity of the spin component of the total reflected light field, and how does it further affect the LSS behavior? Then, the expressions of the longitudinal spatial and angular spin shifts for the reflected light beam using defined spin shifts formulas  $\Delta x_{R/L}^r(z^r=0) = \frac{\langle E_{R/L}^r |x^r| E_{R/L}^r \rangle}{\langle E_{R/L}^r |E_{R/L}^r \rangle}, \Theta x_{R/L}^r = \frac{\partial \Delta x_{R/L}^r}{\partial z^r}$  are given as:

$$
\Delta x_R^r = \frac{-w_0^2 \operatorname{Re}(\tilde{x}_R)}{w_0^2 + |\tilde{x}_R|^2 + |\tilde{y}_R|^2}, \qquad \Delta x_L^r = \frac{-w_0^2 \operatorname{Re}(\tilde{x}_L)}{w_0^2 + |\tilde{x}_L|^2 + |\tilde{y}_L|^2}, \tag{5}
$$

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
\Theta x_R^r = \frac{1}{z_R} \frac{-w_0^2 \operatorname{Im} (\tilde{x}_R)}{w_0^2 + |\tilde{x}_R|^2 + |\tilde{y}_R|^2}, \qquad \Theta x_L^r = \frac{1}{z_R} \frac{-w_0^2 \operatorname{Im} (\tilde{x}_L)}{w_0^2 + |\tilde{x}_L|^2 + |\tilde{y}_L|^2}.
$$
(6)

With

$$
\tilde{x}_R = i \frac{1}{k^i} \frac{\frac{\partial r_{RR}}{\partial \theta_i} a_R^i}{r_{RR} a_R^i + r_{RL} a_L^i}, \tilde{x}_L = i \frac{1}{k^i} \frac{\frac{\partial r_{LR}}{\partial \theta_i} a_R^i}{r_{LR} a_R^i + r_{LL} a_L^i}, \tilde{y}_R = \frac{1}{k^i} \frac{2 r_{RR} a_R^i}{r_{RR} a_R^i + r_{RL} a_L^i} \cot \theta_i, \tilde{y}_L = \frac{1}{k^i} \frac{-2 r_{LL} a_L^i}{r_{LR} a_R^i + r_{LL} a_L^i} \cot \theta_i.
$$

Accordingto equations  $(5)$  $(5)$  $(5)$  and  $(6)$  $(6)$  $(6)$ , the spatial and angular GH displacement expressions in the spin representation can be expressed as

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
\Delta_{\text{GH}}^r = \frac{\left|r_{RR}a_R^i + r_{RL}a_L^i\right|^2 \Delta x_R^r + \left|r_{LR}a_R^i + r_{LL}a_L^i\right|^2 \Delta x_L^r}{\left|r_{RR}a_R^i + r_{RL}a_L^i\right|^2 + \left|r_{LR}a_R^i + r_{LL}a_L^i\right|^2},\tag{7}
$$

<span id="page-4-3"></span><span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
\Theta_{\text{GH}}^{r} = \frac{\left|r_{RR}a_{R}^{i} + r_{RL}a_{L}^{i}\right|^{2}\Theta x_{R}^{r} + \left|r_{LR}a_{R}^{i} + r_{LL}a_{L}^{i}\right|^{2}\Theta x_{L}^{r}}{\left|r_{RR}a_{R}^{i} + r_{RL}a_{L}^{i}\right|^{2} + \left|r_{LR}a_{R}^{i} + r_{LL}a_{L}^{i}\right|^{2}}.
$$
\n(8)

Equations [\(7](#page-4-2)) and [\(8](#page-4-3)) describe the quantitative relationship between GH shift and LSS, and can clearly reflect the influence of the spin component of the arbitrarily polarized incident light on the LSS of the reflected light. This is why it is interesting to analyze GH shifts in spin representations. It is worth noting that equations [\(7\)](#page-4-2) and([8\)](#page-4-3) are valid for partial or total reflection of two transparent media, such as air–glass interface, and for reflection of transparent and absorbent media interface, such as an air–metal interface.

#### **3. Brewster's angle incidence and total reflection**

In this section, we focus on the relationship between GH shift and LSS in spin representation. When the  $\alpha_C = \alpha_0 - 45^\circ$ ,  $\Delta \phi_C = 180^\circ$  and  $\alpha_C = 45^\circ$ ,  $\Delta \phi_C = 2\alpha_0$ , which correspond to elliptically polarized light with  $\Delta\phi_0 =$  90° and linearly polarized light in the spin-zero representation. Interestingly, the  $\alpha_C$  of elliptically polarized light with ∆*ϕ*<sup>0</sup> = 90*◦* changes 45*◦* and ∆*ϕ<sup>C</sup>* changes 90*◦* from the spin-zero representation to the spin representation, whereas the  $\alpha_C$  of linearly polarized light changes from a variable angle to a fixed angle of 45°, the  $\Delta\phi_C$  changes from a fixed angle of 0° to a variable angle of 2 $\alpha_0$ .

In the spin representation, if the angle of incidence is equal to Brewster angle ( $\theta_i=\theta_B$ ), and  $\Delta\phi_C=180^\circ$ , then  $\tilde{x}_R$ ,  $\tilde{x}_L$ ,  $\tilde{y}_R$  and  $\tilde{y}_L$  expressions can be reduced to:

$$
\tilde{x}_R = i\frac{1}{k^i} \left( -\frac{\partial r_P}{r_S \partial \theta_i} \middle|_{\theta_i = \theta_B} \frac{\sin \left( \alpha_C + 45^\circ \right)}{\sin \left( \alpha_C - 45^\circ \right)} + \frac{\partial r_S}{r_S \partial \theta_i} \middle|_{\theta_i = \theta_B} \right),\tag{9}
$$

<span id="page-4-6"></span><span id="page-4-4"></span>
$$
\tilde{x}_L = -i\frac{1}{k^i} \left( -\frac{\partial r_P}{r_S \partial \theta_i} \left|_{\theta_i = \theta_B} \frac{\sin(\alpha_C + 45^\circ)}{\sin(\alpha_C - 45^\circ)} - \frac{\partial r_S}{r_S \partial \theta_i} \right|_{\theta_i = \theta_B} \right),\tag{10}
$$

<span id="page-4-5"></span>
$$
\tilde{y}_R = \frac{1}{k^i} \frac{2 \cos \alpha_C \cot \theta_B}{\cos \alpha_C - \sin \alpha_C}, \tilde{y}_L = \frac{1}{k^i} \frac{2 \sin \alpha_C \cot \theta_B}{\cos \alpha_C - \sin \alpha_C}.
$$
\n(11)

From equations [\(9](#page-4-4)) to [\(11\)](#page-4-5), the following relation can be easily obtained:  $\Delta x_R^r = \Delta x_L^r = 0$ . According to equation [\(4](#page-3-2)), it is easy to obtain that the intensity of right-handed and left-handed light fields is equal  $(I_R = I_L = |r_S(a_R^i - a_L^i)/2|^2)$  in Brewster angle incidence. Therefore, when linearly polarized light in the spin representation impinges on the transparent medium interface, the spatial LSS of reflected light completely disappears, while the angular LSS at the  $\alpha_C$  away from 45 $^\circ$  shows an asymmetric LSS. The above result is because the  $(\partial r_P/\partial \theta_i)|_{\theta_i=\theta_B}$  is on the same order of magnitude as the  $(\partial r_S/\partial \theta_i)|_{\theta_i=\theta_B}$  [[36](#page-10-21)], and cannot

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

satisfy that the former is much larger than the latter. For the  $\alpha_C$  approaching 45<sup>°</sup>, the last term  $(\frac{\partial r_S}{r_S \partial \theta_i})|_{\theta_i = \theta_B}$ inequations  $(9)$  and  $(10)$  can be ignored. Then, equation  $(6)$  $(6)$  can be simplified as:

$$
\Theta x_L^r = -\Theta x_R^r. \tag{12}
$$

This indicates that the angular LSS is a symmetric spin splitting. Therefore, the angular GH shift is zero. It is worth emphasizing that angular spin splitting of reflected light is usually an asymmetric spin splitting. Only when the elliptically polarized light with  $\Delta\phi_0$  of 90 $^\circ$  and  $\alpha_0$  of the near-zero in the spin-zero representation impinges on the air–glass interface at Brewster angle, angular LSS can be viewed as a symmetrical distribution about the origin of coordinates. The detailed behavior evolution of the spin optical effects is shown in figure [2](#page-5-0).

In order to thoroughly reveal the generation mechanism of GH shift and LSS in spin representation, it is necessary to analyze the interference effect between the cross reflection field and the direct reflection field. The GH shift and LSS can be understood as three sources: one is the self-intensity of the spin component of the direct reflected light field, the other is the self-intensity of the cross-reflected light field, and the last is the interference effect of the direct reflected light field and the cross-reflected light field spin component. Specifically, for an incident linearly polarized light with an  $\Delta\phi_C = 180^\circ$  and  $\alpha_C = -44.5^\circ$ , the self-intensity of the spin component of the directly reflected light field exhibits a tiny GH shift, and the GH shift is of the same magnitude and direction, that is, spin-independent splitting, as shown in figures  $3(c)$  $3(c)$  and (d). The GH shift of the self-intensity of the spin component of the cross-reflected light field almost disappears, as shown in figures  $3(e)$  $3(e)$  and  $(f)$ . It is worth emphasizing that the interference effects of direct and cross-reflected light fields play a weakening role in the total intensity of the spin component of the reflected light field, as shown in figures  $3(g)$  $3(g)$  and (h). That is, the intensity of interference is a negative value, which is called negative interference. It is because of this interference phenomenon that the light intensity is very weak but large symmetric LSS, as shown in figures  $3(a)$  $3(a)$  and (b).

To more clearly show the physical meaning of the light field intensity represented in figure [3,](#page-6-0) the expression of the intensity of each sub-light field and the expression of the interference intensity between the direct reflected light field and the cross-reflected light field can be written as:

$$
E_{RR}^r * E_{RR}^r = \left| r_{RR} a_R^i \right|^2 \left[ 1 + |\tilde{x}_{RR}|^2 \frac{k^{r^2}}{z_R^2 + z^{r^2}} x^{r^2} + |\tilde{y}_{RR}|^2 \frac{k^{r^2}}{z_R^2 + z^{r^2}} y^{r^2} + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left( \tilde{x}_{RR} \xi_{x^r} + \tilde{y}_{RR} \xi_{y^r} \right) \right] \times \exp \left[ -k^r \frac{x^{r^2} + y^{r^2}}{z_R^2 + z^{r^2}} z_R \right]
$$
\n(13)

$$
E_{LL}^r E_{LL}^r = \left| r_{LL} a_L^i \right|^2 \left[ 1 + |\tilde{x}_{LL}|^2 \frac{k^{r^2}}{z_R^2 + z^{r^2}} x^{r^2} + |\tilde{y}_{LL}|^2 \frac{k^{r^2}}{z_R^2 + z^{r^2}} y^{r^2} + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left( \tilde{x}_{LL} \xi_{x^r} + \tilde{y}_{LL} \xi_{y^r} \right) \right]
$$

<span id="page-5-3"></span><span id="page-5-2"></span><span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
\times \exp\left[-k^r \frac{x^{r^2} + y^{r^2}}{z_R^2 + z^{i^2}} z_R\right],\tag{14}
$$

$$
E_{RL}^r E_{RL}^r = \left| r_{RL} a_L^i \right|^2 \left[ 1 + |\tilde{x}_{RL}|^2 \frac{k^2}{z_R^2 + z^{r^2}} x^{r^2} + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left( \tilde{x}_{RL} \xi_{x'} \right) \right] \exp \left[ -k^r \frac{x^{r^2} + y^{r^2}}{z_R^2 + z^{r^2}} z_R \right],\tag{15}
$$

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

**Figure 3.** The intensity distribution of the spin components of the reflected light field from the air–glass interface at  $\theta_i = \theta_B$  for the incident polarization state with  $\alpha_C = -44.5^\circ$  and  $\Delta \phi_C = 180^\circ$ . (a) *R* and (b) *L* components for the total reflected light field; (c) *R* and (d) *L* components for the direction reflected light field; (e) *R* and (f) *L* components for the cross reflected light field; (g) *R* and (h) *L* components of the negative of the interference for the direct reflected light field and the cross reflected light field.

$$
E_{LR}^r * E_{LR}^r = \left| r_{LR} a_R^i \right|^2 \left[ 1 + |\tilde{x}_{LR}|^2 \frac{k^{r^2}}{z_R^2 + z^{r^2}} x^{r^2} + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left( \tilde{x}_{LR} \xi_{x'} \right) \right] \exp \left[ -k^r \frac{x^{r^2} + y^{r^2}}{z_R^2 + z^{r^2}} z_R \right],\tag{16}
$$

$$
2 \operatorname{Re} \left( E_{RL}^r \,^* E_{RL}^r \right) = 2 \operatorname{Re} \left[ r_{RR} \,^* r_{RL} a_R^i \,^* a_L^i \left( 1 + \tilde{x}_{RR}^* \frac{k'^2}{z_R^2 + z'^2} x'^2 \tilde{x}_{RL} + \tilde{x}_{RL} \xi_{x'} + \tilde{x}_{RR}^* \xi_{x'} \,^* + \tilde{y}_{RR}^* \xi_{y'} \,^* + \tilde{y}_{RR}^* \xi_{y'} \,^* \tilde{x}_{RL} \xi_{x'} \right) \right]
$$

<span id="page-6-3"></span><span id="page-6-2"></span><span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
\exp\left[-k^r \frac{x^{r^2} + y^{r^2}}{z_R^2 + z^{i^2}} z_R\right],
$$
\n(17)

$$
2\operatorname{Re}\left(E_{LL}^{r}^{*}E_{LL}^{r}\right) = 2\operatorname{Re}\left[r_{LL}^{*}r_{LR}a_{L}^{i}a_{R}^{i}\left(1 + \tilde{x}_{LL}^{*}\frac{k^{r^{2}}}{z_{R}^{2} + z^{r^{2}}}x^{r^{2}}\tilde{x}_{LR} + \tilde{x}_{LR}\xi_{x'} + \tilde{x}_{LL}^{*}\xi_{x'}^{*} + \tilde{y}_{LL}^{*}\xi_{y'}^{*} + \tilde{y}_{LL}^{*}\xi_{y'}^{*}\tilde{x}_{LR}\xi_{x'}\right)\right]
$$

$$
\cdot \exp\left[-k^{r}\frac{x^{r^{2}} + y^{r^{2}}}{z_{R}^{2} + z^{i^{2}}}z_{R}\right]
$$
(18)

where the superscript *<sup>∗</sup>* denotes the complex conjugation. Equations [\(13](#page-5-1)) and [\(14\)](#page-5-2) represent the simulated intensity distribution in figures  $\beta(c)$  and (d), respectively. The GH shift of the two spin component fields  $E'_{RR}$ and  $E_{LL}^r$  of the direct reflected light field comes from the contribution of the  $2 \text{Re}(\tilde{x}_{RR} \xi_{x'})$  and  $2 \text{Re}(\tilde{x}_{LL} \xi_{x'})$ respectively, so the GH shift of the two spin component light fields is a spin-independent shift along the *−x* direction. Equations [\(15\)](#page-5-3) and([16\)](#page-6-1) represent the simulated intensity distribution in figures [3\(](#page-6-0)e) and (f), respectively. From 2 Re $(\tilde{x}_{RL}\xi_{x'})=2$  Re $(\tilde{x}_{LR}\xi_{x'})$ , it can also be concluded that the GH shift of the two spin components of the cross-reflected light field is a spin-independent shift along the  $+x$  direction and its

magnitude is almost negligible, as shown in figures  $3(e)$  $3(e)$  and (f). Interestingly, the interference intensity distributions described by equations [\(17\)](#page-6-2)and ([18\)](#page-6-3) are shown in figures [3\(](#page-6-0)g) and (h), respectively. According to Re $(\tilde{x}_{RL} \xi_{x'} + \tilde{x}_{RR}^* \xi_{x'}^*)$  = Re $(\tilde{x}_{LR} \xi_{x'} + \tilde{x}_{LL}^* \xi_{x'}^*)$ , it is easy to see that the GH shift of the two spin components of the interference field is still a spin-independent shift, and its magnitude is about half that of the GH shift of the directly reflected light field. It is worth emphasizing that the relative magnitude relationship between the GH shifts of the direct reflected light field, the cross-reflected light field, and the interference field is determined by  $2 \text{Re}(\tilde{x}_{RR} \xi_{x'}) + 2 \text{Re}(\tilde{x}_{RL} \xi_{x'}) = 2 \text{Re}(\tilde{x}_{RL} \xi_{x'} + \tilde{x}_{RR}^* \xi_{x'}^*)$ . However, this raises a new question: why does the spin component field of the total reflection field exhibit symmetric LSS when all three exhibit spin-independent GH shifts?

First, the longitudinal GH shifts of the right-handed and left-handed components of the total reflected light field can be expressed as:

$$
\Delta x_R^r = \Delta x_{RR}^r P_{RR}^r + \Delta x_{RL}^r P_{RL}^r + \Delta x_{RI}^r P_{RI}^r \tag{19}
$$

$$
\Delta x_L^r = \Delta x_{LL}^r P_{LL}^r + \Delta x_{LR}^r P_{LR}^r + \Delta x_{LI}^r P_{LI}^r.
$$
\n(20)

Here  $\Delta x_{RR}^r$ ,  $\Delta x_{RL}^r$  and  $\Delta x_{RI}^r$  represent GH shifts shown in figures [3](#page-6-0)(c), (e) and (g), respectively, while  $\Delta x_{RR}^r$ ,  $\Delta x_{RL}^r$  and  $\Delta x_{RI}^r$  represent GH shifts shown in figures [3\(](#page-6-0)d), (f) and (h), respectively.  $P_{RR}^r$ ,  $P_{RL}^r$  and  $P_{RI}^r$  $(P_{LL}^r, P_{LR}^r$  and  $P_{LI}^r$  represent the specific gravity factors of the GH shift terms  $\Delta x_{RR}^r, \Delta x_{RL}^r$  and  $\Delta x_{RL}^r$  ( $\Delta x_{LL}^r$ )  $\Delta x_{LR}^r$  and  $\Delta x_{LI}^r$ ) respectively. Three points need to be highlighted about the characteristics of the specific gravity factor at Brewster angle of incidence: (1) the specific gravity factors of the GH shift caused by the interference term is negative because the interference intensity is negative, and the GH shift of the direct reflected light field and the GH shift of the cross-reflected light field respectively correspond to the specific gravity factor is obviously positive; (2) the relationship between specific gravity factors is as follows:  $P_{RR}^r \neq P_{LL}^r$ ,  $P_{RL}^r \neq P_{LR}^r$  and  $P_{RI}^r = P_{LI}^r$ ; (3) the relationship between GH shift of direct reflected light field, cross-reflected light field and GH shift of interference field is as follows:  $\Delta x_{RR}^r + \Delta x_{RL}^r = 2\Delta x_{RI}^r$  and  $\Delta x_{LL}^r + \Delta x_{LR}^r = 2\Delta x_{LL}^r$ . In addition, the superposition of the negative interference intensity, the self-intensity of the direct reflected light field and the self-intensity of the cross-reflected light field leads to the weak intensity of the total reflected light field, and further leads to the specific gravity factor of the order of 10 to the third power. Such a large specific gravity factor is the main reason why the GH displacement of the total reflected light field is enhanced. At Brewster angle incidence, the right-handed and left-handed components of the total reflected light field subtly appear as a huge LSS by adjusting the amplitude ratio angle *α<sup>C</sup>* to change the specific gravity factors. The conditions under which this interesting phenomenon of symmetric LSS occurs are extremely strict.

In the spin representation, if the angle of incidence is equal to Brewster's angle ( $\theta_i = \theta_B$ ), and  $\alpha_C = 45^\circ$ ,  $\Delta\phi_C = 2\alpha_0$ , then  $\tilde{x}_R$ ,  $\tilde{x}_L$ ,  $\tilde{y}_R$  and  $\tilde{y}_L$  expressions can be reduced to:

$$
\tilde{x}_R = i \frac{1}{k^i} \left( \frac{\partial r_P}{r_S \partial \theta_i} \middle| \theta_i = \theta_B \frac{i \sin \Delta \phi_C}{1 - \cos \Delta \phi_C} + \frac{\partial r_S}{r_S \partial \theta_i} \middle| \theta_i = \theta_B \right),\tag{21}
$$

$$
\tilde{x}_L = -i\frac{1}{k^i} \left( \frac{\partial r_P}{r_S \partial \theta_i} \middle| \theta_i = \theta_B \frac{i \sin \Delta \phi_C}{1 - \cos \Delta \phi_C} - \frac{\partial r_S}{r_S \partial \theta_i} \middle| \theta_i = \theta_B \right),\tag{22}
$$

$$
\tilde{y}_R = \frac{1}{k^i} \frac{1 - \cos \Delta \phi_C + i \sin \Delta \phi_C}{1 + \cos \Delta \phi_C} \cot \theta_B, \tilde{y}_L = -\tilde{y}_R^*.
$$
\n(23)

Obviously, the spatial LSS is an symmetric spin splitting, while the angular LSS completely disappears, i.e. $\Delta x_R^r = -\Delta x_L^r$ ,  $\Theta x_R^r = \Theta x_L^r$ . According to equations ([7\)](#page-4-2) and ([8](#page-4-3)), it is not difficult to conclude that spatial GH shift is zero and angular GH shift occurs.

Finally, from the perspective of spin representation, we discuss the relationship between GH displacementand LSS in the case of total reflection from equations ([7\)](#page-4-2) and ([8\)](#page-4-3). As shown in figure  $4(a)$  $4(a)$ , the magnitude of the two components of spatial LSS differs significantly except for the amplitude ratio angle  $\alpha_C = 0^\circ$  and  $\alpha_C = \pm 45^\circ$ . We can clearly see that the variation characteristics of GH displacement are determined by the variation characteristics between the two components of the spatial LSS, and this result is completely consistent with the results obtained from the spin-zero representation reported previously[[37](#page-10-22)]. More interestingly, angular LSS does not exhibit perfect symmetric spin splitting, although angular GH displacement always disappears, as shown in figure [4\(](#page-8-0)b). It is also revealed that this interesting optical effect is mainly caused by the unequal ratio of the two spin components of the reflected light field (The variation trend of intensity ratio with amplitude ratio angle  $\alpha_C$  is shown in figure [5\)](#page-8-1). This is in stark contrast to the recently reported polarization-independent SHE for non-zero IF displacement by designing an interface structurewith Fresnel coefficients satisfying  $r_P = r_S$  ( $r_{PS} = r_{SP} = 0$ ) [[38](#page-10-23)]. In particular, in the spin-zero representation, the incident circularly polarized light retains only one spin component light field after

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

**Figure 4.** The spatial and angular LSS and GH in the spin representation when the light impinges on the glass–air interface for total reflection ( $\Delta \phi_C = 180^\circ$ ,  $\theta_i^C = 45^\circ$ ,  $n_1 = 1.5$ ,  $n_2 = 1.0$ ).

<span id="page-8-1"></span>

reflection, which means that the SHE of circularly polarized light completely disappears at this time. It is worth emphasizing that the occurrence of the SHE of light predicted by Bliokh is closely related to IF displacement being zero [\[4](#page-10-24)]. From this perspective, it is obvious that the so-called polarization-independent SHE cannot occur. Therefore, the relationship between spatial IF displacement and transverse spin splitting derived from our theory also play an important role in analyzing the difference and connection between SHE and transverse symmetric spin splitting of light.

#### **4. Conclusion**

In summary, we have described the GH shift and LSS of arbitrarily polarized light in spin representation by polarization representation transformation. We have also revealed the mechanism of GH shift from the interference effect of direct and cross-reflected light fields, and established the relationship between GH shift and LSS in spin representation. Remarkably, we have strictly proved that angular symmetric spin splitting of reflected light is generally impossible to occur when linearly polarized light in the spin representation strikes the air–glass interface at Brewster angle. Finally, from the perspective of spin representation, we also reveal the physical mechanism of the optical effect that angular GH displacement always disappears but angular LSS does not exhibit perfect symmetric spin splitting in the case of total reflection.

#### **Data availability statement**

The data cannot be made publicly available upon publication because no suitable repository exists for hosting data in this field of study. The data that support the findings of this study are available upon reasonable request from the authors.

#### **Acknowledgments**

with  $\hat{V} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ 

2

 1 *−i* 1 *i*

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC Grant No. 62075015) and National Key Research and Development Plan (No. 2023YFB4603700).

#### <span id="page-9-0"></span>**Appendix. Transformation matrix between the incident and reflected light fields in the spin representation**

According to the electric field expression equation([2\)](#page-2-1) of the incident beam in the spin representation, the spin representation of the electric field of the incident light beam in the local coordinate system can be expressed as:

$$
\left| \tilde{\boldsymbol{E}}_{S}^{i'} \right\rangle = \hat{V} \hat{U}_{\theta_{i\perp}} \left( \theta_{i}, \boldsymbol{k}_{i} \right) \hat{V}^{\dagger} \left| \tilde{\boldsymbol{E}}_{S}^{i} \right\rangle, \tag{A1}
$$
\n
$$
, \boldsymbol{k}_{i}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{k_{y}^{i}}{k^{i}} \cot \theta_{i} \\ -\frac{k_{y}^{i}}{k^{i}} \cot \theta_{i} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

where  $\hat{V}$  is the transformation matrix of the incident light field from spin representation to spin-zero representation,  $\hat{U}_{\theta_i\perp}(\theta_i, \bm{k}_i)$  is the transformation matrix of the incident light field from the incident laboratory coordinate system to the incident local coordinate system. According to the Fresnel equation in the spin representation, the electric field of the reflected light in the local coordinate system can be written as:

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
\left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{E}}_{S}^{r'}\right\rangle = \hat{S}^{r}\hat{F}_{S}^{r}\left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{E}}_{S}^{i'}\right\rangle, \tag{A2}
$$

with  $\hat{F}_{S}^{r} =$  $\begin{pmatrix} r_{RR} & r_{RL} \ r_{LR} & r_{LL} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $r_{RR} = r_{LL} = \frac{r_P + r_S}{2}$ ;  $r_{LR} = r_{RL} = \frac{r_P - r_S}{2}$ .

 $\Big)$ *,*  $\hat{U}_{\theta_i\perp}(\theta_i)$ 

Theoperator  $\hat{S}^r$  in equation ([A2\)](#page-9-1) can be regarded as a coordinate transformation operator from  $(k_x^i, k_y^i)$ to  $(k_x^r, k_y^r)$ , i.e.  $\hat{S}^r\left|\tilde{E}^r(k_x^i, k_y^i)\right\rangle = \left|\tilde{E}^r(-k_x^r, k_y^r)\right\rangle$ . It is worth emphasizing that the appearance of the Fresnel non-zero matrix elements  $(r_{RL} = r_{LR} \neq 0)$  in the spin representation clearly indicates that the eigenbasis of the reflected light fields is the linearly polarized basis rather than the circularly polarized basis. *rRR*, *rLL* and *rLR*, *rRL* are similar to the direct Fresnel reflection coefficients and cross Fresnel reflection coefficients of anisotropic interface reflection. Interestingly, the cross-Fresnel reflection coefficient is due to the polarization representation transformation. Next, we give a simple derivation of the Fresnel equation for the reflected light field in spin representation.

Fresnel equation for the reflected light field in a spin-zero representation can be expressed as:

<span id="page-9-2"></span>
$$
\left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{E}}^{'}\right\rangle = \hat{F}^{'}\left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{E}}^{i'}\right\rangle,\tag{A3}
$$

with  $\hat{F}^r = \begin{pmatrix} r_p & 0 \\ 0 & r_p \end{pmatrix}$ 0 *r<sup>S</sup>*  $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ .

Multiply the right side of the Fresnel reflection matrix *F*ˆ*<sup>r</sup>* in equation([A3\)](#page-9-2) by the identity matrix  $\hat{I} = \hat{V}^{\dagger} \hat{V}$ , the following relation is obtained:

<span id="page-9-3"></span>
$$
\left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{E}}'\right\rangle = \hat{F}^{\prime}\hat{V}^{\dagger}\hat{V}\left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{E}}^{i'}\right\rangle. \tag{A4}
$$

Then multiply both sides of the equation  $(A4)$  left by the transformation operator  $\hat{V}$  of the spin representation to obtain the important expression that follows

$$
\hat{V} \left| \tilde{E}' \right\rangle = \hat{V} \hat{F}' \hat{V}^{\dagger} \hat{V} \left| \tilde{E}' \right\rangle. \tag{A5}
$$

By using the relation:  $\hat{V} \left| \tilde{\boldsymbol{E}}^{r'} \right\rangle = \left| \tilde{\boldsymbol{E}}_{S}^{r'} \right\rangle$  $\left\langle \hat{\mathbf{E}}^{i'}\right\rangle$ ,  $\hat{V}\left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{E}}^{i'}\right\rangle = \left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{E}}^{i'}_{S}\right\rangle$  $\langle S\atop S\rangle$ , Thus, the Fresnel equation of the reflected light beam in spin representation can be written as:

<span id="page-9-4"></span>
$$
\left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{E}}_{\mathcal{S}}^{r'}\right\rangle = \hat{F}_{\mathcal{S}}^{r'}\left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{E}}_{\mathcal{S}}^{i'}\right\rangle,\tag{A6}
$$

with  $\hat{F}_{S}^{r} = \hat{V}\hat{F}^{r}\hat{V}^{\dagger}$ .

On the basis of equation([A6\)](#page-9-4) and considering the mirror symmetry of the coordinate transformation of reflectionprocess, equation  $(A2)$  $(A2)$  $(A2)$  is obtained. For the convenience of calculating the GH displacement next, the first-order Taylor expansion of the Fresnel coefficient in the spin representation is performed at  $(k_x^i = 0, k_y^i = 0)$ :

$$
\widetilde{r}_{ij}\left(\theta_{i},\boldsymbol{k}^{i}\right)=\widetilde{r}_{ij}\left|_{k_{x}^{i}=0;k_{y}^{i}=0}+\partial_{k_{x}^{i}}\widetilde{r}_{ij}\right|_{k_{x}^{i}=0;k_{y}^{i}=0}k_{x}^{i},ij=RR,RL,LR,LL.
$$
\n(A7)

Therefore, the electric field of reflected light in the local laboratory coordinate system can be obtained as:

$$
\left| \tilde{E}_S' \right\rangle = \hat{V} \hat{U}_{\theta_r \perp}^{\dagger} \left( \theta_r, \mathbf{k}_r \right) \hat{V}^{\dagger} \left| \tilde{E}_S^{\prime} \right\rangle. \tag{A8}
$$

So far, we have obtained the relationship between the electric field of incident light and the electric field of reflected light in the spin representation, that is:

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\left|\tilde{E}_{S}^{\prime}\right\rangle &= \hat{M}_{S}^{\prime}\left|\tilde{E}_{S}^{i}\right\rangle, \\
\hat{M}_{S}^{i\rightarrow r} &= \hat{V}\hat{U}_{\theta_{r}\perp}^{\dagger}\left(\theta_{r},\mathbf{k}_{r}\right)\hat{V}^{\dagger}\hat{S}^{\prime}\hat{F}_{S}^{r}\hat{V}\hat{U}_{\theta_{i}\perp}\left(\theta_{i},\mathbf{k}_{i}\right)\hat{V}^{\dagger}.\n\end{aligned} \tag{A9}
$$

#### **ORCID iDs**

Ze Chen  $\bullet$  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2013-1887> Hu Zhang  $\bullet$  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8795-9753> Yang Meng 
Intrps://orcid.org/0000-0001-6559-5242 Weiming Zhen  $\odot$  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5948-1679>

#### **References**

- <span id="page-10-0"></span>[1] Goos F and Hänchen H 1947 *Ann. Phys.* **[436](https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.19474360704)** [333](https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.19474360704)
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>[2] Player M A 1987 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **[20](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/20/12/020)** [3667](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/20/12/020)
- [3] Fedoseyev V G 1988 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **[21](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/21/9/019)** [2045](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/21/9/019)
- <span id="page-10-24"></span>[4] Bliokh K Y and Bliokh Y P 2006 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **[96](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.073903)** [073903](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.073903)
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>[5] Li C-F 2007 *Phys. Rev.* A **[76](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.76.013811)** [013811](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.76.013811)
- [6] Aiello A and Woerdman J P 2008 *Opt. Lett.* **[33](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.33.001437)** [1437](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.33.001437)
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>[7] Li Z, Chen Z, Li Y, Zhang Z, Zhuang G, Liu J and Meng Y 2023 *New J. Phys.* **[25](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/ad10ea)** [123018](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/ad10ea)
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>[8] Ornigotti M, Aiello A and Conti C 2015 *Opt. Lett.* **[40](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.40.000558)** [558](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.40.000558)
- <span id="page-10-18"></span>[9] Merano M, Hermosa N, Woerdman J P and Aiello A 2010 *Phys. Rev.* A **[82](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.82.023817)** [023817](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.82.023817)
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>[10] Aiello A and Woerdman J P 2010 *Opt. Lett.* **[36](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.36.000543)** [543](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.36.000543)
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>[11] Li C-F 2003 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **[91](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.133903)** [133903](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.133903)
- [12] Merano M, Aiello A, 't Hooft G W, von Exter M P, Eliel E R and Woerdman J P 2007 *Opt. Express* **[15](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.15.015928)** [15928](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.15.015928)
- [13] Longhi S, Della V G and Staliunas K 2011 *Phys. Rev.* A **[84](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.84.042119)** [042119](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.84.042119)
- [14] Wu F, Wu J, Guo Z, Jiang H, Sun Y, Li Y, Ren J and Chen H 2019 *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **[12](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevApplied.12.014028)** [014028](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevApplied.12.014028)
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>[15] Chen Z, Zhang H, Zhang X, Li H, Zhang W and Xi L 2019 *Opt. Express* **[28](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.387340)** [8308](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.387340)
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>[16] Fatemeh G, Sara A and Sepehr R 2023 *Phys. Scr.* **[98](https://doi.org/10.1088/1402-4896/ace13b)** [085507](https://doi.org/10.1088/1402-4896/ace13b)
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>[17] Long Y, Ren J, Guo Z, Jiang H, Wang Y, Sun Y and Chen H 2020 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **[125](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.157401)** [157401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.157401)
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>[18] Guo Z, Jiang H, Long Y, Yu K, Ren J, Xue C and Chen H 2017 *Sci. Rep.* **[7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-08171-y)** [7742](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-08171-y)
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>[19] Li C-F 2008 *Phys. Rev.* A **[78](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.78.063831)** [063831](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.78.063831)
- [20] Li C-F 2009 *Phys. Rev.* A **[79](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.79.053819)** [053819](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.79.053819)
- [21] Zhou X, Luo H and Wen S 2012 *Opt. Express* **[20](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.20.016003)** [16003](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.20.016003)
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>[22] Ren J, Wang B, Pan M, Xiao Y, Gong Q and Li Y 2015 *Phys. Rev.* A **[92](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.92.013839)** [013839](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.92.013839)
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>[23] Qin Y, Li Y, Feng X, Xiao Y, Yang H and Gong Q 2011 *Opt. Express* **[19](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.19.009636)** [9636](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.19.009636)
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>[24] Wang B, Li Y, Pan M, Ren J, Xiao Y, Yang H and Gong Q 2013 *Phys. Rev.* A **[88](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.88.043842)** [043842](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.88.043842)
- [25] Qiu X, Zhang Z, Xie L, Qiu J, Gao F and Du J 2015 *Opt. Lett.* **[40](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.40.001018)** [1018](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.40.001018)
- [26] Zhou X, Xie L, Ling X, Cheng S, Zhang Z, Luo H and Sun H 2019 *Opt. Lett.* **[44](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.44.000207)** [207](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.44.000207)
- [27] Yang J, Yuan S, Li Q, Chen Y and Zhou X 2022 *Opt. Lett.* **[47](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.472312)** [2312](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.472312)
- [28] Sheng L, Zhou X, Chen Y, Zhang H and Zhang Z 2022 *Opt. Lett.* **[47](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.472711)** [2711](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.472711)
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>[29] Wu F, Liu T, Long Y, Xiao S and Chen G 2023 *Phys. Rev.* B **[107](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.107.165428)** [165428](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.107.165428)
- <span id="page-10-16"></span>[30] Ling X, Xiao W, Chen S, Zhou X, Luo H and Zhou L 2021 *Phys. Rev.* A **[103](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.103.033515)** [033515](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.103.033515)
- [31] Ling X, Guan F, Cai X, Ma S, Xu H, He Q, Xiao S and Zhou L 2021 *Laser Photon. Rev.* **[15](https://doi.org/10.1002/lpor.202000492)** [2000492](https://doi.org/10.1002/lpor.202000492)
- <span id="page-10-17"></span>[32] Ling X, Zhang Z, Dai Z, Wang Z, Luo H and Zhou L 2023 *Laser Photon. Rev.* **[17](https://doi.org/10.1002/lpor.202200783)** [2200783](https://doi.org/10.1002/lpor.202200783)
- <span id="page-10-19"></span>[33] Aiello A and Woerdman J P 2009 (arXiv[:0903.3730\)](https://arxiv.org/abs/0903.3730)
- [34] Bliokh K Y and Aiello A 2013 *J. Opt.* **[15](https://doi.org/10.1088/2040-8978/15/1/014001)** [014001](https://doi.org/10.1088/2040-8978/15/1/014001)
- <span id="page-10-20"></span>[35] Bliokh K Y and Bliokh Y P 2007 *Phys. Rev.* E **[75](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.75.066609)** [066609](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.75.066609)
- <span id="page-10-21"></span>[36] Hecht E 2015 *Optics* 5th edn (Pearson Education)
- <span id="page-10-22"></span>[37] Bliokh K Y, Shadrivov I V and Kivshar Y S 2009 *Opt. Lett.* **[34](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.34.000389)** [389](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.34.000389)
- <span id="page-10-23"></span>[38] Minkyung K, Dasol L and Junsuk R 2021 *Laser Photon. Rev.* **[15](https://doi.org/10.1002/lpor.202100138)** [2100138](https://doi.org/10.1002/lpor.202100138)